UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

RONALD G. DIERDORF, JR.,) CASE NO. 3:05 CV 2977
Petitioner,) JUDGE PETER C. ECONOMUS
v.) MEMORANDIM OF ORTHON
MICHELE EBERLIN,) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>) <u>AND ORDER</u>
Respondent.)

On December 27, 2006, petitioner <u>pro se</u> Ronald G. Dierdorf, Jr., filed the above-captioned habeas corpus action under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Dierdorf is incarcerated in an Ohio penal institution, having been convicted, pursuant to a guilty plea, of rape (2 counts) in 1995. The petition asserts that the plea agreement is violated by a subsequent finding that Dierdorf is a sexual predator. For the reasons stated below, the petition is denied and this action is dismissed.

A federal district court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in state custody only on the ground that the custody violates the Constitution or laws of the United States. Furthermore, the petitioner must have exhausted all available state remedies. 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

Case: 3:05-cv-02977-PCE Doc #: 4 Filed: 01/31/06 2 of 2. PageID #: 18

To be made voluntarily and intelligently, a guilty plea

must be made with knowledge of the relevant circumstances and

likely consequences. However, defendant need only be aware of the

direct consequences of his plea, and there is no constitutional

right to be informed of all possible collateral consequences

thereof. King v. Dutton, 17 F.3d 151, 153 (6th Cir. 1994). A

subsequent determination of sexual predator status is a collateral

consequence of Dierdorf's 1995 plea. <u>Leslie v. Randle</u>, 296 F.3d

518 (6th Cir. 2002); Goodballet v. Mack, 266 F.Supp.2d 702 (N.D.

Oh. 2003).

Accordingly, the petition is denied and this action is

dismissed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254

Cases. Further, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1915(a), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in

good faith, and that there is no basis on which to issue a

certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253; Fed.R.App.P.

22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Peter C. Economus - 1/31/06

PETER C. ECONOMUS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2